

Recommendations on Disease Management for Patients With Advanced Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2–Positive Breast Cancer and Brain Metastases: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update

Naren Ramakrishna, Sarah Temin, Sarat Chandralapaty, Jennie R. Crews, Nancy E. Davidson, Francisco J. Esteva, Sharon H. Giordano, Jeffrey J. Kirshner, Ian E. Krop, Jennifer Levinson, Shanu Modi, Debra A. Patt, Jane Perlmutter, Eric P. Winer, and Nancy U. Lin

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Published at jco.org on June 25, 2018.

N.R. and N.U.L. were Expert Panel co-chairs

ASCO Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee approval: April 4, 2018.

Editor's note: This American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) 2018 Clinical Practice Guideline update reaffirms and summarizes the recommendations that were previously published in 2014; the 2014 recommendations remain current as of April 2018. Additional information, including an abbreviated Data Supplement with new studies, a Methodology Supplement, slide sets, clinical tools and resources, and links to patient information at www.cancer.net, is available at <http://www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines>.

Reprint requests: American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2318 Mill Rd, Ste 800, Alexandria, VA 22314; guidelines@asco.org.

Corresponding author: American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2318 Mill Rd, Ste 800, Alexandria, VA 22314; e-mail: guidelines@asco.org.

© 2018 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/18/3627w-2804w/\$20.00

A B S T R A C T

Purpose

To update the formal expert consensus-based guideline recommendations for practicing oncologists and others on the management of brain metastases for patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–positive advanced breast cancer to 2018.

Methods

An Expert Panel conducted a targeted systematic literature review (for both systemic treatment and CNS metastases) and identified 622 articles. Outcomes of interest included overall survival, progression-free survival, and adverse events. In 2014, the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) convened a panel of medical oncology, radiation oncology, guideline implementation, and advocacy experts, and conducted a systematic review of the literature. When that failed to yield sufficiently strong quality evidence, the Expert Panel undertook a formal expert consensus–based process to produce these recommendations. ASCO used a modified Delphi process. The panel members drafted recommendations, and a group of other experts joined them for two rounds of formal ratings of the recommendations.

Results

Of the 622 publications identified and reviewed, no additional evidence was identified that would warrant a change to the 2014 recommendations.

Recommendations

Patients with brain metastases should receive appropriate local therapy and systemic therapy, if indicated. Local therapies include surgery, whole-brain radiotherapy, and stereotactic radiosurgery. Treatments depend on factors such as patient prognosis, presence of symptoms, resectability, number and size of metastases, prior therapy, and whether metastases are diffuse. Other options include systemic therapy, best supportive care, enrollment in a clinical trial, and/or palliative care. Clinicians should not perform routine magnetic resonance imaging to screen for brain metastases, but rather should have a low threshold for magnetic resonance imaging of the brain because of the high incidence of brain metastases among patients with HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. Additional information is available at www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines.

J Clin Oncol 36:2804-2807. © 2018 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

ASSOCIATED CONTENT



Appendix
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.79.2713>



Data Supplement
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.79.2713>

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018.79.2713>

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this update is to provide oncologists, other health care practitioners, patients, and caregivers with recommendations regarding guidance for optimal management of patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-positive metastatic breast cancer and brain metastases. The

American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) first published two evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on optimal management of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer in 2014.^{1,2} The goal of this 2018 guideline update is to provide oncologists and other clinicians with current recommendations regarding the treatment of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer and brain metastases. The current 2018 update assesses

THE BOTTOM LINE

Recommendations on Disease Management for Patients With Advanced Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2–Positive Breast Cancer and Brain Metastases: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update**Guideline Question**

What is the appropriate course of treatment of patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2)–positive advanced breast cancer and brain metastases?

Target Population

Individuals with advanced HER2-positive breast cancer and brain metastases.

Target Audience

Medical oncologists, radiation oncologists, neurosurgeons, oncology nurses, patients/caregivers.

Methods

A systematic review of the literature was performed and relevant evidence was evaluated for inclusion in this updated clinical practice guideline using the signals approach.

Recommendations

- For patients with a favorable prognosis for survival and a single brain metastasis, treatment options include surgery with postoperative radiation, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT; \pm SRS), fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT), and SRS (\pm WBRT), depending on metastasis size, resectability, and symptoms. After treatment, serial imaging every 2 to 4 months may be used to monitor for local and distant brain failure.
- For patients with a favorable prognosis for survival and limited (two to four) metastases, treatment options include resection for large symptomatic lesion(s) plus postoperative radiotherapy, SRS for additional smaller lesions, WBRT (\pm SRS), SRS (\pm WBRT), and FSRT for metastases $>$ 3 to 4 cm. For metastases $<$ 3 to 4 cm, treatment options include resection with postoperative radiotherapy. In both cases, available options depend on resectability and symptoms.
- For patients with diffuse disease/extensive metastases and a more favorable prognosis and those with symptomatic leptomeningeal metastasis in the brain, WBRT may be offered.
- For patients with poor prognosis, options include WBRT, best supportive care, and/or palliative care.
- For patients with progressive intracranial metastases despite initial radiation therapy, options include SRS, surgery, WBRT, a trial of systemic therapy, or enrollment in a clinical trial, depending on initial treatment. For patients in this group who also have diffuse recurrence, best supportive care is an additional option.
- For patients whose systemic disease is not progressive at the time of brain metastasis diagnosis, systemic therapy should not be switched.
- For patients whose systemic disease is progressive at the time of brain metastasis diagnosis, clinicians should offer HER2-targeted therapy according to the algorithms for treatment of HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer.
- If a patient does not have a known history or symptoms of brain metastases, routine surveillance with brain magnetic resonance imaging should not be performed.
- Clinicians should have a low threshold for performing diagnostic brain magnetic resonance imaging testing in the setting of any neurologic symptoms suggestive of brain involvement.

(continued on following page)

THE BOTTOM LINE (CONTINUED)

Additional Resources

More information, including a Data Supplement with additional evidence tables, a Methodology Supplement with information about evidence quality and strength of recommendations, slide sets, and clinical tools and resources, is available at www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines. Patient information is available at www.cancer.net

ASCO believes that cancer clinical trials are vital to inform medical decisions and improve cancer care, and that all patients should have the opportunity to participate.

whether the 2014 recommendations remain valid. A complete list of previous recommendations is available at www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines and in Data Supplement 1.

METHODS

Guideline Update Process

ASCO uses a signals³ approach to facilitate guideline updating. This approach is intended to identify new, potentially practice-changing data—signals—that might translate into revised practice recommendations. The approach relies on routine literature searching and the expertise of ASCO guideline panel members to identify signals. The Methodology Supplement available at <http://www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines> provides additional information about the signals approach.

PubMed and the Cochrane Library were searched for randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical practice guidelines for the period from March 8, 2012, to overlap with searches for the previous guidelines, through August 7, 2017. The disease and intervention search terms were those that were used for the 2014 guideline. An Expert Panel (members listed in Appendix Table A1, online only), formed in accordance with the ASCO Conflict of Interest Management Procedures for Clinical Practice Guidelines, reviewed the abstracts that were identified for predefined signals that would suggest the need to change a previous recommendation. Additional information about the results of the updated literature search (Data Supplement 2) and 2017 search strategy string and results (Data Supplement 3), as well as a discussion of the ASCO signals approach to guideline updating, are available at www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines and in the 2018 Data Supplement and 2018 Methodology Supplement, respectively. A QUOROM (Quality of Reporting of Meta-Analyses) diagram of the updated search and the clinical questions are provided in Data Supplements 4 and 5, respectively.

The Expert Panel communicated via telephone and e-mail to consider the evidence for each of the 2018 recommendations. This systematic review-based guideline product was developed by a multidisciplinary Expert Panel, which included two patient representatives and an ASCO guidelines staff member with health research methodology expertise. The guideline was circulated in draft form to the Expert Panel. ASCO's Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee leadership reviewed and approved the final document. All funding for the administration of the project was provided by ASCO.

Guideline Disclaimer. The Clinical Practice Guidelines and other guidance published herein are provided by the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Inc. (ASCO) to assist providers in clinical decision making. The information herein should not be relied upon as being complete or accurate, nor should it be considered as inclusive of all proper treatments or methods of care or as a statement of the standard of care. With the rapid development of scientific knowledge, new evidence may emerge between the time information is developed and when it is published or read. The information is not continually updated and may not reflect the most recent evidence. The information addresses only the topics specifically identified therein and is not applicable to other interventions, diseases, or stages of

diseases. This information does not mandate any particular course of medical care. Further, the information is not intended to substitute for the independent professional judgment of the treating provider, as the information does not account for individual variation among patients. Recommendations reflect high, moderate, or low confidence that the recommendation reflects the net effect of a given course of action. The use of words like “must,” “must not,” “should,” and “should not” indicates that a course of action is recommended or not recommended for either most or many patients, but there is latitude for the treating physician to select other courses of action in individual cases. In all cases, the selected course of action should be considered by the treating provider in the context of treating the individual patient. Use of the information is voluntary. ASCO provides this information on an “as is” basis and makes no warranty, express or implied, regarding the information. ASCO specifically disclaims any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular use or purpose. ASCO assumes no responsibility for any injury or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to any use of this information, or for any errors or omissions.

This is the most recent information as of the publication date. For the most recent information, and to submit new evidence, please visit www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines.

Guideline and Conflicts of Interest. The Expert Panel was assembled in accordance with ASCO's Conflict of Interest Policy Implementation for Clinical Practice Guidelines (“Policy,” found at <http://www.asco.org/rwc>). All members of the Expert Panel completed ASCO's disclosure form, which requires disclosure of financial and other interests, including relationships with commercial entities that are reasonably likely to experience direct regulatory or commercial impact as a result of promulgation of the guideline. Categories for disclosure include employment; leadership; stock or other ownership; honoraria, consulting or advisory role; speaker's bureau; research funding; patents, royalties, other intellectual property; expert testimony; travel, accommodations, expenses; and other relationships. In accordance with the Policy, the majority of the members of the Expert Panel did not disclose any relationships constituting a conflict under the Policy.

RESULTS

A joint search for both guidelines yielded 622 publications. After careful review of the identified publications, the Expert Panel concluded that there were no results that would change the 2014 guideline recommendations. A bibliography of the results of the updated literature search is provided in Data Supplement 2.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The 2018 recommendations are listed in the Bottom Line Box. These recommendations are consistent with the previous (2014) recommendations. ASCO believes that cancer clinical trials are

vital to inform medical decisions and improve cancer care and that all patients should have the opportunity to participate.

Related ASCO Guidelines

- Systemic Therapy for Patients With Advanced Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2–Positive Breast Cancer⁴ (<http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2018.79.2697>)
- Integration of Palliative Care Into Standard Oncology Care⁵ (<http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2016.70.1474>)
- Patient-Clinician Communication⁶ (<http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2017.75.2311>)
- American Cancer Society/ASCO Breast Cancer Survivorship Care⁷ (<http://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2015.64.3809>)

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

More information, including Data and Methodology Supplements, slide sets, and clinical tools and resources, is available at www.asco.org/breast-cancer-guidelines. Patient information is available at www.cancer.net.

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jco.org.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Administrative support: Sarah Temin

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

REFERENCES

1. Giordano SH, Temin S, Kirshner JJ, et al: Systemic therapy for patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 32: 2078-2099, 2014
2. Ramakrishna N, Temin S, Chandarlapaty S, et al: Recommendations on disease management for patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer and brain

metastases: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 32:2100-2108, 2014

3. Shojania KG, Sampson M, Ansari MT, et al: How quickly do systematic reviews go out of date? A survival analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 147:224-233, 2007

4. Giordano SH, Temin S, Chandarlapaty S, et al: Systemic therapy for patients with advanced human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer: ASCO clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 36:2736-2740, 2018

5. Ferrell BR, Temel JS, Temin S, et al: Integration of palliative care into standard oncology care: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline update. *J Clin Oncol* 35:96-112, 2017

6. Gilligan T, Coyle N, Frankel RM, et al: Patient-clinician communication: American Society of Clinical Oncology consensus guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 35: 3618-3632, 2017

7. Runowicz CD, Leach CR, Henry NL, et al: American Cancer Society/American Society of Clinical Oncology breast cancer survivorship care guideline. *J Clin Oncol* 34:611-635, 2016

Affiliations

Naren Ramakrishna, University of Florida Health Cancer Center at Orlando Health, Orlando; **Jennifer Levinson**, Ponte Vedra Beach, FL; **Sarah Temin**, American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, VA; **Sarat Chandarlapaty** and **Shanu Modi**, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; **Francisco J. Esteva**, New York University Langone Medical Center, New York; **Jeffrey J. Kirshner**, Hematology/Oncology Associates of Central New York, East Syracuse, NY; **Jennie R. Crews**, Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, Seattle, WA; **Nancy E. Davidson**, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA; **Sharon H. Giordano**, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston; **Debra A. Patt**, Texas Oncology, Austin, TX; **Ian E. Krop**, **Eric P. Winer**, and **Nancy U. Lin**, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA; and **Jane Perlmutter**, Ann Arbor, MI.

See Cancer.Net's Extensive Video Library

Your patients can learn about cancer and its treatment by watching Cancer.Net videos at cancer.net/videos. ASCO experts discuss a variety of cancer topics and shed insight on the latest developments in research and treatment. Videos are also available through Cancer.Net's YouTube channel at youtube.com/CancerDotNet

Cancer.Net

AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Recommendations on Disease Management for Patients With Advanced Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2–Positive Breast Cancer and Brain Metastases: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline Update

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/site/ifc.

Naren Ramakrishna

Stock or Other Ownership: Cytecare Cancer Center, Bangalore India

Sarah Temin

No relationship to disclose

Sarat Chandarlapaty

Honoraria: Chugai Pharma, AstraZeneca, Sermonix Pharmaceuticals, Macrogenics, Agendia, Novartis, Context Therapeutics

Research Funding: Novartis (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Macrogenics, AstraZeneca, Novartis

Jennie R. Crews

No relationship to disclose

Nancy E. Davidson

No relationship to disclose

Francisco J. Esteva

Consulting or Advisory Role: Genentech/Roche, Novartis, Celgene, NanoString Technologies, Celltrion

Research Funding: Novartis (Inst), Kadmon (Inst), Merrimack (Inst), Synta (Inst), Genentech/Roche (Inst)

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Myriad Genetics

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Novartis

Sharon H. Giordano

No relationship to disclose

Jeffrey J. Kirshner

Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca

Ian E. Krop

Employment: AMAG Pharmaceuticals (I)

Leadership: AMAG Pharmaceuticals (I)

Stock or Other Ownership: AMAG Pharmaceuticals (I)

Honoraria: Genentech/Roche

Consulting or Advisory Role: Genentech/Roche, Seattle Genetics, Daiichi Sankyo, Macrogenics

Research Funding: Genentech

Jennifer Levinson

No relationship to disclose

Shanu Modi

Speakers' Bureau: Genentech

Research Funding: Roche/Genentech, Novartis, Seattle Genetics, Synta, Daiichi Sankyo

Debra A. Patt

Employment: Texas Oncology, McKesson, MedNax (I)

Leadership: McKesson, Mednax (I), Texas Oncology

Stock or Other Ownership: Mednax (I)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: McKesson

Jane Perlmutter

No relationship to disclose

Eric P. Winer

Honoraria: Genentech/Roche, Tesaro, Eli Lilly

Consulting or Advisory Role: Leap Therapeutics, InfiniteMD

Research Funding: Genentech (Inst)

Nancy U. Lin

Research Funding: Genentech, Cascadian Therapeutics

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Royalties for chapter in Up-to-Date regarding management of breast cancer brain metastases

Acknowledgment

The Expert Panel wishes to thank the Clinical Practice Guidelines Committee for their thoughtful reviews and insightful comments on this guideline.

Appendix

Table A1. Recommendations on Disease Management for Patients With Advanced Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2–Positive Breast Cancer and Brain Metastases Expert Panel Membership

Name (and designation)	Affiliation/Institution	Role/Area of Expertise
Nancy U. Lin, MD, co-chair	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA	Medical oncology
Naren Ramakrishna, MD, PhD, co-chair	University of Florida Health Cancer Center at Orlando Health, Orlando, FL	Radiation oncology
Sarat Chandarlapaty, MD, PhD	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY	Medical oncology
Jennie R. Crews, MD	Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, Seattle, WA	Medical oncology PGIN representative
Nancy E. Davidson, MD	Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center and University of Washington, Seattle, WA	Medical oncology
Francisco J. Esteva, MD, PhD	New York University Langone Medical Center, Perlmutter Cancer Center, NY, NY	Medical oncology
Sharon H. Giordano, MD	University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX	Medical oncology
Jeffrey J. Kirshner, MD	Hematology/Oncology Associates of Central New York, East Syracuse, NY	Medical oncology
Ian E. Krop, MD, PhD	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA	Medical oncology
Jennifer Levinson	Ponte Vedra Beach, FL	Patient representative
Shanu Modi, MD	Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY	Medical oncology
Debra A. Patt, MD, MPH, MBA	Texas Oncology, Austin, TX	Medical oncology
Jane Perlmutter, PhD	Ann Arbor, MI	Patient representative
Eric P. Winer, MD	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA	Medical oncology
Sarah Temin, MSPH	American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, VA	Staff/health research methodologist

Abbreviation: PGIN, Practice Guidelines Implementation Network.